

Council SUMMONS AND AGENDA

DATE: Thursday 29 November 2018

TIME: 7.30 pm

VENUE: Council Chamber, Harrow Civic Centre,
Station Road, Harrow, HA1 2XY

All Councillors are hereby summoned to attend the Council Meeting for the transaction of the business set out.



Hugh Peart
Director of Legal and Governance Services

Despatch Date: [Wednesday 21 November 2018]

Useful Information

Meeting details:

This meeting is open to the press and public.

Directions to the Civic Centre can be found at:
<http://www.harrow.gov.uk/site/scripts/location.php>.

Filming / recording of meetings

The Council will audio record Public and Councillor Questions. The audio recording will be placed on the Council's website.

Please note that proceedings at this meeting may be photographed, recorded or filmed. If you choose to attend, you will be deemed to have consented to being photographed, recorded and/or filmed.

When present in the meeting room, silent mode should be enabled for all mobile devices.

Meeting access / special requirements.

The Civic Centre is accessible to people with special needs. There are accessible toilets and lifts to meeting rooms. If you have special requirements, please contact the officer listed on the front page of this agenda.

An induction loop system for people with hearing difficulties is available. Please ask at the Security Desk on the Middlesex Floor.

Summons publication date: Wednesday 21 November 2018

PRAYERS

Imam Sheikh Salman Sidiq, Sri Lankan Muslim Cultural Centre, will open the meeting with Prayers.

1. COUNCIL MINUTES (Pages 11 - 28)

That the minutes of the Extraordinary meeting and ordinary meeting held on 19 July 2018 be taken as read and signed as correct records.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

To receive declarations of disclosable pecuniary or non pecuniary interests, arising from business to be transacted at this meeting, from all Members of the Council.

3. PROCEDURAL MOTIONS

To receive and consider any procedural motions by Members of the Council in relation to the conduct of this Meeting. Notice of such procedural motions, received after the issuing of this Summons, will be tabled.

4. PETITIONS

To receive any petitions to be presented:

- (i) by a representative of the petitioners;
- (ii) by a Councillor, on behalf of petitioners;
- (iii) by the Mayor, on behalf of petitioners.

5. PETITION - LOSS OF SPACES DUE TO POET'S CORNER REDEVELOPMENT

A petition containing over 2,000 signatures has been received and has the following terms:

“Dear Gareth Thomas and Elected Councillors,

I the undersigned am concerned about the loss of parking spaces if the Poet's Corner redevelopment goes ahead.

I urge the Council, Gareth Thomas and other councillors to ensure there are sufficient parking spaces for local businesses, users of Harrow Central Mosque, other local organisations and the local residents.’

A representative of the petitioners will read the terms of the petition on behalf of the petition signatories. There is a period of one minute allocated to present. A period of ten minutes is permitted for Members to debate the Petition terms and issues.

Following discussion the Council may choose to refer the petition to the Cabinet, a Committee or Corporate Director to consider the matter, taking into account the views expressed by Council.

6. PUBLIC QUESTIONS *

A period of up to 15 minutes is allowed for members of the public to ask questions of members of the Executive, Portfolio Holders and Chairs of Committees, of which notice has been received no later than 3.00 pm two clear working days prior to the day of this Meeting. Any such questions received will be tabled.

7. LEADER AND PORTFOLIO HOLDERS' ANNOUNCEMENTS

To receive a presentation from the Leader of the Council and Portfolio Holders on business since the last ordinary meeting, followed by a question and answer session. The item is allotted 20 minutes.

8. APPOINTMENT OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE (HEAD OF PAID SERVICE) (To Follow)

Recommendation I: Chief Officers' Employment Panel
(13 November 2018)

9. GAMBLING POLICY - REVISED STATEMENT OF PRINCIPLES (Pages 29 - 32)

Recommendation I: Cabinet
(15 November 2018)

10. CORPORATE PARENTING STRATEGY 2017 - 2019 (Pages 33 - 36)

Recommendation I: Cabinet
(13 September 2018)

11. SCRUTINY ANNUAL REPORT 2017/18 (Pages 37 - 40)

Recommendation I: Overview and Scrutiny Committee
(5 June 2018)

12. SCRUTINY WORK PROGRAMME 2018-2022 (Pages 41 - 44)

Recommendation I: Overview and Scrutiny Committee
(17 September 2018)

13. CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS - TERMS OF REFERENCE OF JOINT HEALTH OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE AND COMMITTEE PROCEDURE RULES IN RELATION TO THE PLANNING COMMITTEE (Pages 45 - 52)

Report of the Director of Legal and Governance Services

14. INFORMATION REPORT - USE OF THE URGENCY AND SPECIAL URGENCY PROCEDURE (Pages 53 - 58)

15. QUESTIONS WITH NOTICE *

A period of up to 15 minutes is allowed for asking written questions by Members of Council of a member of the Executive or the Chair of any Committee:-

- (i) of which notice has been received at least two clear working days prior to the day of this Meeting; or
- (ii) which relate to urgent matters, and the consent of the Executive Member or Committee Chair to whom the question is to be put has been obtained and the content has been advised to the Director of Legal and Governance Services by 12 noon on the day of the Council Meeting.

Any such questions received will be tabled.

16. MOTIONS

The following Motions have been notified in accordance with the requirements of Council Procedure Rule 15, to be moved and seconded by the Members indicated:

(1) Temple Burglaries Motion

To be moved by Councillor Kanti Rabadia and seconded by Councillor Vina Mithani:

“This Council notes and condemns:

- The burglary of Shree Kutch Satsang Swaminarayan Temple in Kenton, Harrow.
- The burglary of the Shree Swaminarayan Temple in Willesden, Brent.
- Any crime carried out against any religious establishment.

This Council believes:

- Harrow has a diverse community that deserves to feel safe and have the right to freely practice whatever religion they follow.
- Local communities and religious establishments should be protected.
- Any crime carried out against any religious establishment is unacceptable.

This Council resolves:

- To instruct the interim Chief Executive, Leader of the Council and Leader of the Opposition to send a cross-party letter to both Temples, condemning the burglaries and offering solidarity and support.

- To offer a meeting to representatives from the Kenton Temple with the Leader of the Council, the Leader of the Opposition and relevant Council officers to discuss how the Council can provide better support.”

(2) Breaking Point Campaign Motion

To be moved by Councillor Graham Henson and seconded by Councillor Adam Swersky:

“This Council notes that many council budgets are now at Breaking Point. Austerity has caused huge damage to communities up and down the UK, with devastating effects on key public services that protect the most defenceless in society – children at risk, disabled children and adults, and vulnerable older people – and the services we all rely on, like clean streets, libraries, and children’s centres;

- Government cuts mean councils have lost 60p out of every £1 that the last Labour Government was spending on local government in 2010;
- Harrow Council has lost £55 million of central government funding as our RSG has been eliminated entirely.
- Councils had to spend an extra £800m last year to meet the demand on vital services to protect children.
- With an aging population and growing demand adult social care faces a gap of £3.5 billion – with only 14% of council workers now confident that vulnerable local residents are safe and cared for.
- Government cuts have seen over 500 children’s centres and 475 libraries close, potholes are left unfilled, and 80% of councils workers now say have no confidence in the future of local services.
- Northamptonshire has already gone bust and more councils are predicted to collapse without immediate emergency funding.
- Councils now face a further funding gap of £7.8 billion by 2025 just to keep services ‘standing still’ and meeting additional demand. Even Lord Gary Porter, the Chair of the Local Government Association, has said ‘Councils can no longer be expected to run our vital local services on a shoestring’.

This Council condemns Chief Secretary to the Treasury for stating on BBC Newsnight on 1st October 2018 that the government is “not making cuts to local authorities”, when all independent assessments of government spending show that this is entirely false; and that this Council further notes that Prime Minister Theresa May has also claimed that “austerity is over” despite planning a further £1.3bn of cuts to council budgets over the next year;

This Council agrees with the aims of the ‘Breaking Point’ petition

signed by Labour councillors across the country, in calling for the Prime Minister and Chancellor to truly end austerity in local government by properly funding local public services.

This Council resolves to:

- Support the 'Breaking Point' campaign, recognising the devastating impact that austerity has had on our local community.
- Work with other councils to campaign for proper funding for local government and other public services.
- Write to the Chancellor of the Exchequer, the Prime Minister, and the Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government setting out the funding pressures faced by Harrow Council, and calling on the Government to truly end austerity in local government."

(3) Education Funding Motion

To be moved by Councillor Pamela Fitzpatrick and seconded by Councillor Maxine Henson:

"Harrow Council believes education to be the foundation of our society, it is through education that we unlock the individual's full potential and create a more equal and prosperous society for all. This is why cuts to education are so catastrophic.

Harrow Council notes:

At the national level, per pupil funding has declined by 8% since 2010.

In Harrow, our schools are facing annual funding pressures of £77,000 for primary schools and £194,000 for secondary schools. Since 2015, Harrow has suffered a net loss of 105 teachers in our maintained schools owing in part to recruitment and retention issues.

Harrow schools must bear the brunt of unfunded National Insurance increases.

Harrow schools see inadequate High Needs Block Funding, leaving our most vulnerable pupils without the support they need.

Harrow Council resolves:

To resist the Government's ongoing cuts to school budgets and call for more funding to be invested in education.

To support national campaigns against school cuts.

To call on the Government to fully fund the pay increase for teachers that is recommended by the School Teachers' Review Body."

(4) Modern Slavery Motion

To be moved by Councillor Jeff Anderson and seconded by Councillor Adam Swersky:

"Modern slavery continues to be a significant problem in Harrow. In 2013 there is evidence that as many as 42 children were trafficked to the borough providing large revenue streams for criminal gangs. Harrow Council has been proactive in its investigations into not only child trafficking but modern slavery as a whole. This scourge affects all those who are vulnerable and it is for this reason that we have chosen to implement the following resolutions:

Harrow Council requests its Cabinet to:

1. Train its corporate procurement team to understand modern slavery through the Chartered Institute of Procurement and Supply's (CIPS) online course on Ethical Procurement and Supply.
2. Require its contractors to comply fully with the Modern Slavery Act 2015, wherever it applies, with contract termination as a potential sanction for non-compliance.
3. Challenge any abnormally low-cost tenders to ensure they do not rely upon the potential contractor practising modern slavery.
4. Highlight to its suppliers that contracted workers are free to join a trade union and are not to be treated unfairly for belonging to one.
5. Publicise its whistle-blowing system for staff to blow the whistle on any suspected examples of modern slavery.
6. Require its tendered contractors to adopt a whistle-blowing policy which enables their staff to blow the whistle on any suspected examples of modern slavery.
7. Review its contractual spending regularly to identify any potential issues with modern slavery.
8. Highlight for its suppliers any risks identified concerning modern slavery and refer them to the relevant agencies to be addressed.
9. Refer for investigation via the National Crime Agency's national referral mechanism any of its contractors identified as a cause for concern regarding modern slavery.
10. Report publicly on the implementation of this policy annually."

[In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 15.6 the Motion shall stand referred to the Executive as the matter falls within the Executive's remit].

(5) Women Against State Pension Inequality Motion

To be moved by Councillor Natasha Proctor and seconded by Councillor Sarah Butterworth:

“Harrow Council calls upon the Government to make fair transitional state pension arrangements for all women born on or after 6th April 1950, who have unfairly borne the burden of the increase to the State Pension Age (SPA) with lack of appropriate notification.

Thousands of women who live in Harrow, and hundreds of thousands nationally, had significant pension changes imposed on them by the Pensions Acts of 1995 and 2011 with little or no personal notification of the changes. Some women had only two years notice of a six-year increase to their state pension age.

Thousands of women born in the 1950's are living in hardship. Retirement plans have been shattered with devastating consequences. Many of these women are already out of the labour market, caring for elderly relatives, providing childcare for grandchildren, or suffer discrimination in the workplace so struggle to find employment. Women born in this decade are suffering financially. These women have worked hard, raised families and paid their tax and national insurance with the expectation that they would be financially secure when reaching 60. It is not the pension age itself that is in dispute - it is widely accepted that women and men should retire at the same time. The issue is that the rise in the women's state pension age has been too rapid and has happened without sufficient notice being given to the women affected, leaving women with no time to make alternative arrangements.

Harrow Council calls upon the Government to reconsider transitional arrangements for women born on or after 6th April 1950, so that women do not live in hardship due to pension changes they were not told about until it was too late to make alternative arrangements.”

*** Data Protection Act Notice**

The Council will audio record items 6 and 15 (Questions with Notice) and will place the audio recording on the Council's website, which will be accessible to all.

[Note: The questions and answers will not be reproduced in the minutes.]

This page is intentionally left blank

COUNCIL 29 NOVEMBER 2018

MINUTES

This page is intentionally left blank

COUNCIL (EXTRAORDINARY)

MINUTES

19 JULY 2018

- Present:**
- * Councillor Kairul Kareema Marikar
(The Worshipful the Mayor)
 - * Councillor Nitin Parekh (The Deputy Mayor)
- Councillors:**
- | | |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Ghazanfar Ali * Richard Almond * Dan Anderson * Jeff Anderson * Sue Anderson * Marilyn Ashton * Peymana Assad * Camilla Bath * Christopher Baxter * Philip Benjamin * Michael Borio * Simon Brown * Sarah Butterworth * Kam Chana * Ramji Chauhan * Niraj Dattani * Keith Ferry * Pamela Fitzpatrick * Dean Gilligan * Stephen Greek * Chetna Halai * Susan Hall * Graham Henson * Maxine Henson * John Hinkley * Nitesh Hirani * Honey Jamie * Ameet Jogia * Jean Lammiman * James Lee * Dr Lesline Lewinson | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Ajay Maru * Jerry Miles * Vina Mithani * Amir Moshenson * Chris Mote * Janet Mote * Angella Murphy-Strachan * Phillip O'Dell * Paul Osborn * Mina Parmar † Varsha Parmar * Anjana Patel * Primesh Patel * Pritesh Patel * David Perry * Natasha Proctor * Kanti Rabadia * Kiran Ramchandani * Christine Robson † Lynda Seymour * Mrs Rekha Shah * Sachin Shah * Chloe Smith * Norman Stevenson * Krishna Suresh * Sasi Suresh * Adam Swersky * Bharat Thakker * Antonio Weiss * Stephen Wright |
|---|---|

- * Denotes Member present
- † Denotes apologies received

PRAYERS

The meeting opened with Prayers offered by
Rabbi Kathleen De Matige-Middleton, Mosaic Synagogue.

17. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interests made by Members.

18. HONORARY FREEDOM OF THE LONDON BOROUGH OF HARROW

RESOLVED:

That, in accordance with the provisions of Section 249 of the Local Government Act 1972, the Council of the London Borough of Harrow resolve to:

- (i) confer the honour of Freedom of the Borough of Harrow on Christine Bednell in recognition of her 47 years outstanding and diligent service as a Councillor;
- (ii) subject to (i), grant the Freedom by way of an illuminated Scroll to be presented to the recipient;
- (iii) make the Presentation of the Scroll at the next meeting of Council.

(CLOSE OF MEETING: All business having been completed, the Mayor declared the meeting closed at 7.08 pm).

COUNCIL MINUTES

19 JULY 2018

- Present:**
- * Councillor Kairul Kareema Marikar
(The Worshipful the Mayor)
 - * Councillor Nitin Parekh (The Deputy Mayor)
- Councillors:**
- | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| * Ghazanfar Ali | * Ajay Maru |
| * Richard Almond | * Jerry Miles |
| * Dan Anderson | * Vina Mithani |
| * Jeff Anderson | * Amir Moshenson |
| * Sue Anderson | * Chris Mote |
| * Marilyn Ashton | * Janet Mote |
| * Peymana Assad | * Angella Murphy-Strachan |
| * Camilla Bath | * Phillip O'Dell |
| * Christopher Baxter | * Paul Osborn |
| * Philip Benjamin | * Mina Parmar |
| * Michael Borio | † Varsha Parmar |
| * Simon Brown | * Anjana Patel |
| * Sarah Butterworth | * Primesh Patel |
| * Kam Chana | * Pritesh Patel |
| * Ramji Chauhan | * David Perry |
| * Niraj Dattani | * Natasha Proctor |
| * Keith Ferry | * Kanti Rabadia |
| * Pamela Fitzpatrick | * Kiran Ramchandani |
| * Dean Gilligan | * Christine Robson |
| * Stephen Greek | * Lynda Seymour |
| * Chetna Halai | * Mrs Rekha Shah |
| * Susan Hall | * Sachin Shah |
| * Graham Henson | * Chloe Smith |
| * Maxine Henson | * Norman Stevenson |
| * John Hinkley | * Krishna Suresh |
| * Nitesh Hirani | * Sasi Suresh |
| * Honey Jamie | * Adam Swersky |
| * Ameet Jogia | * Bharat Thakker |
| * Jean Lammiman | * Antonio Weiss |
| * James Lee | * Stephen Wright |
| * Dr Lesline Lewinson | |

- * Denotes Member present
- † Denotes apologies received

19. COUNCIL MINUTES

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the Annual Council meeting held on 24 May 2018 be taken as read and signed as a correct record.

20. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Item 9 – Redevelopment of the Central Depot

Councillor Ajay Maru declared a non-pecuniary interest in that he was a resident of and councillor for the Kenton West ward and the depot was located in the ward.

Councillor Vina Mithani declared a non-pecuniary interest in that she was a Kenton West ward councillor and the depot was located in the ward.

Councillor Kanti Rabadia declared a non-pecuniary interest in that he was a resident of and councillor for the Kenton West ward and the depot was located in the ward.

Items 10 & 11 – Community Safety and Violence, Vulnerability and Exploitation Strategy and Youth Justice Plan

Councillor Marilyn Ashton declared a non-pecuniary interest in that she was a serving magistrate and a Governor of Shaftesbury School.

Councillor Chris Mote declared a non-pecuniary interest in that he was a serving magistrate.

Item 18(2) – Motion on Remembering Srebrenica

Councillor Philip Benjamin declared a non-pecuniary interest in that he worked full-time in higher education.

Councillor Sarah Butterworth declared a non-pecuniary interest in that she taught at a local school and the motion referred to support for the work of schools.

Item 18(4) – Motion on Adult Social Care

Councillor Jeff Anderson declared a non-pecuniary interest in that his son received social care services from the Council.

Councillor Sue Anderson declared a non-pecuniary interest in that her son received social care services from the Council.

Councillor Michael Borio declared a non-pecuniary interest in that his father received social care services from the Council.

Councillor Graham Henson declared a non-pecuniary interest in that his wife worked for Rethink Mental Illness.

Councillor Maxine Henson declared a non-pecuniary interest in that she worked for Rethink Mental Illness.

Councillor Chris Mote declared a non-pecuniary interest in that his mother-in-law received social care services from the Council.

Councillor Janet Mote declared a non-pecuniary interest in that her mother received social care services from the Council.

Councillor Primesh Patel declared a disclosable pecuniary interest in that he worked for the Care Quality Commission. He would leave the Council Chamber for the item and would therefore not take part in the debate and decision on the motion.

Councillor Krishna Suresh declared a non-pecuniary interest in that his mother received social care services from the Council.

21. PROCEDURAL MOTIONS

- (i) The Mayor drew attention to the tabled amendment to Motion 1 and to the two tabled amendments to Motion 4 set out in the tabled documents, together with a change in the Labour Group Members on the Licensing and General Purposes Committee.
- (ii) Councillor Graham Henson moved a Procedural Motion to vary the order of business to bring forward Item 7 (Honorary Freedom of the Borough of Harrow) to be taken before Item 6 (Leader's Announcements). This was seconded by Councillor Paul Osborn and upon being put to the vote was carried.

22. PETITIONS

In accordance with Rule 10, the following petitions were presented:

- (i) Petition submitted by Councillor Marilyn Ashton containing 21 signatures and concerning parking and anti-social behaviour affecting residents of September Way and Laurimal Close, Stanmore.

[The petition stood referred to the Portfolio Holder for Environment].

- (ii) Petition submitted by Councillor Marilyn Ashton containing 52 signatures and seeking the introduction of a controlled parking zone for residents of Eaton Close and Hall Farm Close, Stanmore.

[The petition stood referred to the Portfolio Holder for Environment].

- (iii) Petition submitted by Councillor Camilla Bath containing 102 signatures and seeking a re-phasing of traffic lights at the junction of Greenford Road, Sudbury Hill, Sudbury Court Drive, to promote the safety of pupils, parents and staff of St George's School.

[The petition stood referred to the Portfolio Holder for Environment].

23. PUBLIC QUESTIONS

To note that a question from a member of the public had been received and responded to, and the recording of this question and the answer given had been placed on the Council's website.

24. HONORARY FREEDOM OF THE BOROUGH OF HARROW

The Mayor and ten other Members of the Council made speeches in tribute to the service of Christine Bednell to the authority and the community of the Borough of Harrow.

The Mayor then presented to Christine Bednell an illuminated scroll recording the Council's decision to confer the Honorary Freedom of the Borough of Harrow on her.

Christine Bednell made a speech of thanks in response.

25. LEADER AND PORTFOLIO HOLDERS' ANNOUNCEMENTS

- (i) The Leader of the Council, Graham Henson, introduced the item highlighting the achievements, challenges and proposals since the last ordinary meeting.
- (ii) Other Members of the Council spoke and/or asked questions of the Leader of the Council which were duly responded to.

26. APPOINTMENT OF CHAIR OF LICENSING AND GENERAL PURPOSES COMMITTEE

RESOLVED: That, having noted the change in the membership of the Licensing and General Purposes Committee set out in the tabled documents, Councillor Natasha Proctor be appointed as the Chair of the Committee.

27. REDEVELOPMENT OF THE CENTRAL DEPOT

RESOLVED: That the increase in the capital programme as set out in the exempt Appendix 1, be approved.

[Note: The following councillors requested that their names be recorded as having voted against the above resolution: Richard Almond, Marilyn Ashton, Camilla Bath, Christopher Baxter, Philip Benjamin, Kam Chana, Ramji Chauhan, Stephen Greek, Chetna Halai, Susan Hall, John Hinkley, Nitesh Hirani, Ameet Jogia, Jean Lammiman, Dr Lesline Lewinson, Vina Mithani, Amir Moshenson, Chris Mote, Janet Mote, Paul Osborn, Mina Parmar, Anjana Patel, Pritesh Patel, Kanti Rabadia, Lynda Seymour, Norman Stevenson, Bharat Thakker and Stephen Wright].

28. COMMUNITY SAFETY AND VIOLENCE VULNERABILITY AND EXPLOITATION STRATEGY (VVE)

RESOLVED: That the the Community Safety Violence, Vulnerability and Exploitation Strategy 2018-2020 be endorsed and adopted.

29. YOUTH JUSTICE PLAN

RESOLVED: That the Youth Justice Plan 2018-19 be endorsed and adopted.

30. VEHICLE PROCUREMENT OPTION RE:CAPITALISATION

RESOLVED: That the Capital Programme for 2018/19 be increased by the indicative capital cost as set out in the Appendix to the Cabinet report (exempt information) to make provision for an option to purchase vehicles under the current tender exercise for the procurement of the Supply and Maintenance of Vehicles, to provide officers with the flexibility to purchase, should that be the most economically advantageous option resulting from the procurement exercise.

31. APPOINTMENT OF CO-OPTED MEMBER - PENSION FUND COMMITTEE

RESOLVED: That Howard Bluston be re-appointed as a non-voting co-optee on the Pension Fund Committee for the Municipal Year 2018/19 under the same arrangements as 2017/18 with no advisory or representative duties attached to the role.

32. INFORMATION REPORT - DECISIONS TAKEN UNDER THE URGENT MINOR MATTERS PROCEDURE - COUNCIL

RESOLVED: That the report be noted.

33. INFORMATION REPORT - USE OF THE URGENCY AND SPECIAL URGENCY PROCEDURE

RESOLVED: That the report be noted.

34. INFORMATION REPORT - REMUNERATION PACKAGES AND PAYMENTS OF £100,000 OR GREATER

RESOLVED: That the report be noted.

35. QUESTIONS WITH NOTICE

To note that a question from a Member of the Council had been received and responded to, and the recording of this question and the answer given had been placed on the Council's website.

36. MOTIONS

(i) Motion in the names of Councillor Marilyn Ashton and Councillor Paul Osborn.

“Reject Antisemitism and uphold the “working definition of antisemitism” as adopted by the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance” Motion

“This Council notes:

- HM Government adopted the *“working definition of antisemitism”* in December 2016.
- Harrow Council Adopted the *“working definition of antisemitism”* in February 2017.
- The Labour Party’s rejection of the **full** *“working definition of antisemitism”* and omission of *“Denying the Jewish people their right to self-determination, e.g., by claiming that the existence of a State of Israel is a racist endeavour”* from their own definition of antisemitism.

This Council believes:

- The *“working definition of antisemitism”*, as adopted by the Holocaust Remembrance Alliance and HM Government is the correct definition of antisemitism.
- Antisemitism is fundamentally wrong and should not be tolerated.
- Rejection of **any** part of the *“working definition of antisemitism”* is deplorable, particularly by any mainstream Political Party, including the questioning of Israel’s right to exist.

This Council resolves:

- To reaffirm our adoption of the *“working definition of antisemitism”* and our support of the *“Harrow Council recognises working definition of anti-Semitism Motion”* which was adopted at the Full Council meeting on 23rd February 2017.
- To instruct the Interim Chief Executive and the Leader of the Council to write to the Leader of the opposition, Jeremy Corbyn, calling upon him to deplore any rejection of the *“working definition of antisemitism”* and asking him as Leader of the Labour Party to immediately fully adopt and support this definition.”

A tabled amendment was received. This was further amended upon the Mayor's ruling that one element of the amendment must be withdrawn as its effect was to introduce a new proposal in contravention of Council Procedure Rule 17.6.1.

Upon the meeting moving to a vote upon the tabled amendment to the Motion, as further amended by the Mayor's ruling, ten Members rose and requested a Roll Call vote. The amendment was carried. The voting on the tabled amendment was as follows:

Roll Call Vote: In Favour (of the Tabled Amendment): Councillors Ghazanfar Ali, Dan Anderson, Jeff Anderson, Sue Anderson, Peymana Assad, Michael Borio, Sarah Butterworth, Simon Brown, Niraj Dattani, Keith Ferry, Dean Gilligan, Pamela Fitzpatrick, Graham Henson, Maxine Henson, Honey Jamie, James Lee, Ajay Maru, Jerry Miles, Angella Murphy-Strachan, Philip O'Dell, Nitin Parekh, Primesh Patel, Natasha Proctor, David Perry, Kiran Ramchandani, Christine Robson, Mrs Rekha Shah, Sachin Shah, Chloe Smith, Krishna Suresh, Sasikala Suresh, Adam Swersky and Antonio Weiss.

Against (the Tabled Amendment): Councillors Richard Almond, Marilyn Ashton, Camilla Bath, Christopher Baxter, Philip Benjamin, Kam Chana, Ramji Chauhan, Stephen Greek, Chetna Halai, Susan Hall, John Hinkley, Nitesh Hirani, Ameet Jogia, Jean Lammiman, Dr Lesline Lewinson, Vina Mithani, Amir Moshenson, Chris Mote, Janet Mote, Paul Osborn, Mina Parmar, Anjana Patel, Pritesh Patel, Kanti Rabadia, Lynda Seymour, Norman Stevenson, Bharat Thakker and Stephen Wright.

Abstain (on the Tabled Amendment): The Worshipful the Mayor, Councillor Kareema Kairul Marikar.

Upon a vote, the substantive Motion was agreed as follows:

"This Council notes:

- HM Government adopted the "*working definition of antisemitism*" in December 2016.
- Harrow Council Adopted the "*working definition of antisemitism*" in February 2017.

This Council believes:

- The "*working definition of antisemitism*", as adopted by the Holocaust Remembrance Alliance and HM Government is the correct definition of antisemitism.
- Antisemitism is fundamentally wrong and should not be tolerated.

- Rejection of **any** part of the “working definition of antisemitism” is deplorable.

This Council resolves:

- To reaffirm our adoption of the “working definition of antisemitism” and our support of the “Harrow Council recognises working definition of anti-Semitism Motion” which was adopted at the Full Council meeting on 23rd February 2017.”

RESOLVED: That the motion as amended and set out above be adopted.

(ii) Motion in the names of Councillor Sue Anderson and Councillor Krishna Suresh.

Remembering Srebrenica Motion

“This Council:

- Notes that 2018 is the twenty-third anniversary of the Srebrenica genocide in Bosnia and Herzegovina, which saw over 8,000 Muslim men and boys killed by Serbian nationalist forces.
- Notes that in 2009 the European Parliament passed a resolution that 11 July should be recognised as the day of commemoration of the Srebrenica genocide all over the EU; and in 2015 urged the development of educational and cultural programmes that promote an understanding of the causes of such atrocities and raise awareness about the need to nurture peace and to promote human rights and interreligious tolerance. All UK political parties have supported the work of Remembering Srebrenica in this regard.
- Applauds the work of those involved in the pursuit of justice for the victims and their surviving relatives, including the International Commission of Missing People (ICMP) and the Mothers of Srebrenica, whose courage and humility in the face of unthinkable horror is an inspiration to us all.
- Commends the work of the charity, Remembering Srebrenica, in raising awareness of this tragic and preventable genocide and working in communities across Britain to help them learn the lessons of Srebrenica.

The Council resolves to:

- Offer support to Remembering Srebrenica delegates from Harrow who visited Bosnia on the ‘Lessons from Srebrenica’ education programme and have been working tirelessly in the

community to raise awareness of the genocide and learn the lessons of Srebrenica.

- Support Srebrenica memorial events in July each year throughout Harrow as part of the UK-wide Remembering Srebrenica Memorial Week.
- Support the work of Remembering Srebrenica in communities across Harrow to learn the lessons from Srebrenica to tackle hatred and intolerance to help build a better, safer and more cohesive society for everyone.
- Support the work of schools and education providers to bring the lessons of Srebrenica to young people across Harrow.”

RESOLVED: That the Motion set out at (ii) above be adopted.

(iii) Motion in the names of Councillor Dean Gilligan and Councillor Adam Swersky.

Children’s Citizenship Motion

“In the UK today, there are significant numbers of children who do not currently have British citizenship but have rights to register as British citizens. Many of these children were born in the UK, and others have lived here from a young age, been raised here, educated here, and have never known any other home.

Without access to their citizenship rights, children may find themselves denied opportunities extended to their peers, such as the chance to participate in a school trip or to be eligible for funding so they can undertake higher education.

There are a number of barriers to children registering their citizenship. Registration can be a complex process of prohibitive cost.

Children are charged £1,012 for a process whose administrative cost is published at £372, meaning government is making a profit of £640 from every child who claims their rights.

No child should be denied their citizenship rights by reason of a fee. There is no substitute for citizenship, which is vital to future security and sense of belonging.

Harrow Council values the borough’s diverse population and is alarmed that any children in the borough could be denied their citizenship rights because of their economic status.

The Council is also concerned that for children in care, it is local authorities, rather than central government, that are responsible for paying these exorbitant administrative costs. This effectively amounts

to an unjustified transfer of funds from local to central government.

This Council recognises:

- That the profit-making element of the fee to register citizenship discourages the best outcomes for many of the UKs children
- Because of their duties as corporate parents, the fee for children to register will fall on Councils in the many cases where looked after children qualify for citizenship
- The fee puts Councils in the unacceptable position of having to weigh the benefits of citizenship to a child in their care against the cost to the Council of assisting a child in claiming that right.

This Council therefore resolves:

- To write to the Minister of Immigration demanding that the fee for children to register as British citizens is reduced to the administrative cost and that looked after children are exempted from the fee in its entirety.
- To identify children in their care who are entitled to citizenship, and make sure they are aware of their rights and supported to claim them.”

An amendment was received and, upon the substantive motion being put to the vote, was carried.

RESOLVED: That the motion set out at (iii) above be adopted, subject to the addition of the following wording at the end of the first bullet point under “The Council therefore resolves;...”:

“...; the letter to include reference to the entitlement to a refund in respect of Power of Attorney documents.”

(iv) Motion in the names of Councillor Simon Brown and Councillor Maxine Henson.

Adult Social Care Motion

“Recent analysis by AgeUK has found that a record 1.4 million people in the UK aged over 65 now have some level of unmet social care need. AgeUK blamed this on a “catastrophic lack of government funding for social care”. This analysis has been echoed by the head of the CQC who said that support available for older people was “now so threadbare that Britain’s status as a civilised society was diminished.”

Moreover, the LGA has estimated that by the 2019/20 financial year, local authorities will be facing a funding shortfall for adult social care of

£2.6 billion.

The knock-on effects of this are significant: delayed discharges due to a lack of social care support, cost the NHS £289.1 million annually, not to mention the suffering caused to patients who are forced to spend additional days in hospital.

In Harrow, we have the highest number of over 65s in North-West London, while, due to demographic factors, the borough has the third-highest level of diabetes in the country. Moreover, the proportion of those over 80s within the borough is forecast to continue to rise. All of this will have the cumulative effect of significantly increasing the social care burden on the Harrow Council and further exacerbating funding shortfalls.

Harrow Council recognises:

- That the funding crisis enveloping adult social care amounts to a dereliction of duty by central government, putting thousands of adults at risk across the country.
- Local authorities have been put under unacceptable levels of pressure to deliver on their statutory obligations to provide care, without being given adequate levels of funding to do so.

Harrow Council, therefore, agrees to:

- Write to the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care, demanding that one of his first actions in his new post should be to take the positive action required to find an urgent resolution to the emerging crisis in adult social care funding in Harrow and other local authorities.
- Write to the Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government and request a cash injection so that Harrow Council is able to provide for the increasing demand for council services, including adult social care.
- Continue to work with the CCG, GPs, acute and mental health trusts, and other partners in the local health economy, as well as the local voluntary and community organisations, to ensure that the needs of Harrow residents continue to be met, regardless of whether central government takes the steps which all agree are necessary.”

A tabled amendment was received and, upon being put to the vote, was carried. A second tabled amendment was received and, upon being put to the vote, was carried.

Upon being put to the vote, the substantive motion was agreed.

Adult Social Care Motion

“Recent analysis by AgeUK has found that a record 1.4 million people in the UK aged over 65 now have some level of unmet social care need. AgeUK blamed this on a “catastrophic lack of government funding for social care”. This analysis has been echoed by the head of the CQC who said that support available for older people was “now so threadbare that Britain’s status as a civilised society was diminished.”

Moreover, the LGA has estimated that by the 2019/20 financial year, local authorities will be facing a funding shortfall for adult social care of £2.6 billion.

The knock-on effects of this are significant: delayed discharges due to a lack of social care support, cost the NHS £289.1 million annually, not to mention the suffering caused to patients who are forced to spend additional days in hospital.

In Harrow, we have the highest number of over 65s in North-West London, while, the borough has the third-highest level of diabetes in the country. Moreover, the proportion of those over 80s within the borough is forecast to continue to rise. All of this will have the cumulative effect of significantly increasing the social care burden on the Harrow Council and further exacerbating funding shortfalls.

Harrow Council recognises:

- That there has been a failure by successive governments to deal with the funding crisis enveloping adult social care, putting thousands of adults at risk across the country and that there will be the best chance of achieving an enduring resolution if one can be agreed cross-party in Parliament
- Local authorities have been put under unacceptable levels of pressure to deliver on their statutory obligations to provide care, without being given adequate levels of funding to do so.

Harrow Council, therefore, agrees to:

- Write to the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care, demanding that one of his first actions in his new post should be to take the positive actions, including making an early announcement regarding a publication date for the delayed Green Paper on Care and Support for Older Paper, so that local authorities in England may have some clarity on future funding arrangements for social care
- Write to the Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government and request a cash injection so that Harrow Council is able to provide for the increasing demand for adult social care.

- Continue to work with the CCG, GPs, acute and mental health trusts, and other partners in the local health economy, as well as the local voluntary and community organisations, to ensure that the needs of Harrow residents for adult social care continue to be met, regardless of whether central government takes the steps which all agree are necessary.”

RESOLVED: That the motion as amended and set out above be adopted.

(CLOSE OF MEETING: All business having been completed, the Mayor declared the meeting closed at 9.53 pm).

This page is intentionally left blank

**COUNCIL
29 NOVEMBER 2018**

**CABINET
RECOMMENDATION
(15 NOVEMBER 2018)**

RECOMMENDATION I

**GAMBLING POLICY - REVISED
STATEMENT OF PRINCIPLES**

This page is intentionally left blank

CABINET

15 NOVEMBER 2018

Record of decisions taken at the meeting held on Thursday 15 November 2018.

Present:

Chair: * Councillor Graham Henson

Councillors:

* Sue Anderson	* Varsha Parmar
* Simon Brown	* Christine Robson
* Keith Ferry	* Krishna Suresh
† Phillip O'Dell	* Adam Swersky

Non-Executive Cabinet Member: * Antonio Weiss

Non-Executive Voluntary Sector Representative: * John Higgins

In attendance:	Richard Almond	Minute 70
	Marilyn Ashton	Minute 70
	Jean Lammiman	Minute 70
	Paul Osborn	Minute 70
	Lynda Seymour	Minute 70

* Denotes Member present
† Denotes apologies received

RECOMMENDED ITEMS

71. Gambling Policy - Revised Statement of Principles

Resolved to RECOMMEND: (to Council)

That the revised Statement of Principles be approved.

Alternative Options Considered and Rejected: As set out in the report.

Conflict of Interest relating to the matter declared by Cabinet Member/Dispensation Granted: None.

[Call-in does not apply as the substantive decision is for full Council.]

**COUNCIL
29 NOVEMBER 2018**

**CABINET
RECOMMENDATION
(13 SEPTEMBER 2018)**

RECOMMENDATION I

**CORPORATE PARENTING STRATEGY
2017- 2019**

This page is intentionally left blank

CABINET

13 SEPTEMBER 2018

Record of decisions taken at the meeting held on Thursday 13 September 2018.

Present:

Chair: * Councillor Graham Henson

Councillors:

* Sue Anderson	* Varsha Parmar
* Simon Brown	* Christine Robson
* Keith Ferry	* Krishna Suresh
† Phillip O'Dell	* Adam Swersky

Non-Executive Cabinet Member: * Antonio Weiss

In attendance:

Richard Almond	Minute 43 & 44
Anjana Patel	Minute 44

* Denotes Member present
† Denotes apologies received

RECOMMENDED ITEM

46. Corporate Parenting Strategy 2017- 2019

Resolved to RECOMMEND: (to Council)

That the Corporate Parenting Strategy 2017-19 and Priorities set out in the Strategy be approved.

RESOLVED: That the Corporate Parenting Strategy 2017-2019 and Priorities set out in the Strategy, as recommended by the Corporate Parenting Panel on 3 July 2018, be recommended to Council for approval.

Reason for Decision: To ensure that all Councillors as Corporate Parents understood and were aware of how the responsibilities were being carried out. To adhere to the requirements of the Terms of Reference of the Corporate Parenting Panel.

Alternative Options Considered and Rejected: As set out in the report.

Conflict of Interest relating to the matter declared by Cabinet Member/Dispensation Granted: None.

[Call-in does not apply as the decision is reserved to Council.]

COUNCIL 29 NOVEMBER 2018

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION (5 JUNE 2018)

RECOMMENDATION I

SCRUTINY ANNUAL REPORT 2017/18

This page is intentionally left blank

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE MINUTES

5 JUNE 2018

Chair:	* Councillor Jeff Anderson	
Councillors:	* Richard Almond (Vice-Chair)	* Jean Lammiman
	* Dan Anderson	* Jerry Miles
	* Peymana Assad	* Chris Mote
	* Honey Jamie	* Kanti Rabadia
Voting	(Voluntary Aided)	(Parent Governors)
Co-opted:	* Mr N Ransley	None

* Denotes Member present

6. Scrutiny Annual Report 2017-18

Resolved to RECOMMEND: (To Council)

That the Scrutiny Annual Report 2017-18 be endorsed.

This page is intentionally left blank

COUNCIL 29 NOVEMBER 2018

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION (17 SEPTEMBER 2018)

RECOMMENDATION I

**SCRUTINY WORK PROGRAMME
2018-2022**

This page is intentionally left blank

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE MINUTES

17 SEPTEMBER 2018

Chair:	* Councillor Jeff Anderson	
Councillors:	* Richard Almond * Dan Anderson * Peymana Assad * Honey Jamie	* Jean Lammiman * Jerry Miles * Chris Mote * Kanti Rabadia
Voting Co-opted:	(Voluntary Aided) None	(Parent Governors) None
In attendance: (Councillors)	Councillor Keith Ferry Councillor Adam Swersky	Minute 25
	* Denotes Member present	

26. Scrutiny Work Programme 2018-22

The Committee received a report concerning the Scrutiny Work Programme proposed for the period to the next Council elections in May 2022. It was confirmed that a number of Members on the Committee had been involved in discussions about the content of the programme and that it reflected the priorities and phasing which been supported by them.

A Member who had been elected for the first time in May, asked about the approach taken in framing the programme. The Divisional Director, Strategic Commissioning, reported that discussions with councillors in the political groups had commenced in January focusing on a list of some 12 to 15 key topics; these had been generated through evidence and research, residents feedback and consideration of Council priorities. The Divisional Director would circulate this list.

The Member suggested that the programme could also include examination of equalities issues; she pointed to the reference to considering the impact of ethnicity on in-work poverty, but wondered whether this meant such aspects were not addressed elsewhere. Other Members stated that equalities should be an integral part of the work in any scrutiny review and that this had always been the case. The Divisional Director, Strategic Commissioning underlined that the Committee were in control of the work programme and could adapt it as they considered appropriate from year to year; it was discussed at regular Scrutiny Leadership Group meetings. Sometimes, the programme would be impacted by significant external events affecting local government, an example of which was the collapse of Carillion. He and the Chair encouraged all non-executive councillors to become involved in scrutiny work.

A Member gave an example of a middle management review undertaken by Overview and Scrutiny councillors some time ago and explained how it had developed from a “light touch” review to a more in-depth analysis as a result of the nature of the some of the issues initially discovered. Another Member explained how the review of health visiting had, in effect, been used to create a contract specification for a revised service; he emphasised the importance of Cabinet using scrutiny in this way to improve services for residents.

A Member commended the work programme and asked questions about the way in which the views of resident and staff had informed its preparation. The Divisional Director, Strategic Commissioning reported that a company called the Campaign Company had carried out a 500-person telephone survey of residents using standard market research methodology; the sample size meant that there was a confidence level of plus or minus 3% in the results. The Corporate Leadership Group had suggested a number of key topics for research and investigation, and trends in the annual complaints reports had also been examined.

A Member referred to the important role of scrutiny lead Members in carrying out initial work to frame and develop the later formal scrutiny reviews. He underlined the need to keep alert to key issues arising, for example, in the business reported to Cabinet, so that these could inform the evolution of the scrutiny work programme across the term of the Administration.

The Committee thanked the Head of Policy for her significant work in developing the scrutiny work programme.

RESOLVED: That the scrutiny work programme 2018- 2022, as set out in the report, be approved and submitted to the Council.

COUNCIL
29 NOVEMBER 2018

**CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS - TERMS
OF REFERENCE OF JOINT HEALTH OVERVIEW
AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE AND
COMMITTEE PROCEDURE RULES IN
RELATION TO THE PLANNING COMMITTEE**

This page is intentionally left blank

REPORT FOR: **COUNCIL**

Date of Meeting:	29 November 2018
Subject:	Constitutional amendments – terms of reference of Joint Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee and Committee Procedure Rules in relation to the Planning Committee
Responsible Officer:	Hugh Peart Director of Legal and Governance Services
Exempt:	No
Wards affected:	All
Enclosures:	Appendix 1 – Terms of Reference of North West London Joint Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee

Section 1 – Summary and Recommendations

This report sets out proposed terms of reference of the North West London Joint Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee (NWLJHOSC) and proposed new wording to be inserted into the Committee Procedure Rules.

Recommendations:

Council is requested to agree:

- (1) the proposed terms of reference for the NWLJHOSC attached at Appendix 1 for inclusion in the Constitution; and
- (2) the proposed new wording for the Committee Procedure Rules in relation to the Planning Committee set out at paragraph 8.

Section 2 – Report

Terms of reference for the NWLJHOSC

1. Article 11 of the constitution allows the Council to make arrangements for functions to be administered jointly with other local authorities.
2. The North West London Joint Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee (NWLJHOSC) was established in 2012 at the request of NHS North West London as part of the statutory consultation process for Shaping a Healthier Future (SaHF).
3. The matter came to Council on 24 May 2012 as a recommendation from the Overview and Scrutiny Committee. It was agreed that Harrow should participate in the Committee and that authority be delegated to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee to determine membership and issues relating to the Committee.
4. This role in relation to the statutory consultation process ended in November 2012 but the Committee has continued to meet to provide a forum to discuss cross boundary issues regarding SaHF. The local authorities represented are Harrow, Brent, Ealing, Hounslow, Kensington and Chelsea, Westminster, Hammersmith and Richmond.
5. Changes to this Committee's terms of reference have been agreed by the NWLJHOSC and the terms of reference should now be agreed by Council and inserted in the Constitution as a joint arrangement as permitted by Article 11.
6. The revised terms are designed to provide a clear understanding for all stakeholders of the role and remit of the Committee. The terms are also guided by Department of Health's guidance that the primary aim of health scrutiny is to strengthen the voice of local people, ensuring that their needs and experiences are considered as an integral part of the commissioning and delivery of health services and that those services are effective and safe.

New Committee Procedure Rule

7. The Portfolio Holder for Regeneration, Planning and Employment is also the Chair of the Planning Committee.
8. It is proposed that the following wording be added as Rule 30 of the Committee Procedure Rules to avoid any perception of bias or predetermination, along with the accompanying footnote.

Any major¹ planning application by or on behalf of the Council, or involving Council-owned land, where the Portfolio Holder for Planning, Regeneration and Employment has been involved, must be considered at a special meeting. This meeting must not be chaired or attended by the Portfolio Holder.

¹ Minor development is defined as one which does not fall within the category of 'major'. Major development is defined as development of 10 or more dwellings or residential development on sites of 0.5ha or more, or in the case of non-residential, floorspace of 1000m² or more, or with a site area of 1ha or more. Minor developments are dealt with by officers under the current planning delegations.

Legal comments

9. The NWLJHOSC was originally set up under the Local Authority (Public Health, Health and Wellbeing Boards and Health Scrutiny) Regulations 2013 for the specific purpose of responding to the consultation on SaHF. As explained above the Committee has continued to have a role in health scrutiny.

Financial Implications

10. There are no financial implications arising from this report.

Risk Management Implications

Risk included on Directorate risk register? No

Separate risk register in place? No

Equalities implications

Was an Equality Impact Assessment carried out? No.

There are no equalities implications arising from this report.

Council Priorities

An up to date and effective constitution is important in facilitating proper decision-making in the Council so that it can work together to make a difference for Harrow.

Section 3 - Statutory Officer Clearance

Name: Sharon Daniels	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On behalf of the Chief Financial Officer
Date: 20.11.2018		
Name: Caroline Eccles	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	on behalf of the Monitoring Officer
Date: 20.11.2018		

Ward Councillors notified:	NO
-----------------------------------	-----------

Section 4 - Contact Details and Background Papers

Contact: Caroline Eccles, Senior Lawyer, Employment and Governance, tel: 0208 424 7580.

Background Papers:

None.

If appropriate, does the report include the following considerations?

1.	Consultation	NO
2.	Priorities	YES

NORTH WEST LONDON JOINT HEALTH OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Membership

One nominated voting member from each Council participating in the North West London Joint Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee plus one alternate member who can vote in the voting member's absence. In addition, one non-voting co-opted member of the London Borough of Richmond. The committee will require at least six voting members in attendance to be quorate.

Chair and Vice Chair

The North West London Joint Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee will elect its own chair and vice chair. Elections will take place on an annual basis each May, or as soon as practical thereafter, such as to allow for any annual changes to the committee's membership.

Terms of Reference

1. To scrutinise the 'Shaping a Healthier Future' reconfiguration of health services in North West London and the Sustainability and Transformation Plan for North West London; in particular the implementation plans and actions by the North West London Collaboration of Clinical Commissioning Groups ('NWL CCGs') and its Joint Committee, focusing on aspects affecting the whole of North West London.
2. To review and scrutinise decisions made or actions taken by NWL CCGs and/or other NHS service providers, in relation to the 'Shaping a Healthier Future' reconfiguration and the Sustainability and Transformation Plan for North West London, where appropriate.
3. To make recommendations to NWL CCGs, NHS England, or any other appropriate outside body in relation to the 'Shaping a Healthier Future' plans for North West London and the Sustainability and Transformation Plan for North West London; and to monitor the outcomes of these recommendations where appropriate.
4. To require the provision of information from, and attendance before the committee by, any such person or organisation under a statutory duty to comply with the scrutiny function of health services in North West London.

The stated purpose of the North West London Joint Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee is to consider issues arising as a result of the Shaping a Healthier Future reconfiguration of health services and the Sustainability and Transformation Plan for North West London, taking a wider view across North West London than might normally be taken by individual Local Authorities. Individual local authority members of the North West London Joint Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee will continue their own scrutiny of health services in, or affecting, their individual areas (including those under 'Shaping a Healthier Future' and the Sustainability and Transformation Plan for North West London).

Participation in the Joint Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee will not preclude any scrutiny or right of response by individual boroughs. In particular, and for the sake of clarity, this joint committee is not appointed for and nor does it have delegated to it any of the functions or powers of the local authorities, either individually or jointly, under Section 23 of the local authority (Public Health, Health and Wellbeing Boards and Health Scrutiny) Regulations 2013.

Duration

The Joint Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee will continue until all participating authorities decide otherwise. This does not preclude individual authorities from leaving the Committee

beforehand. The Committee will keep under review whether it has fulfilled its remit and any recommendation of the Committee will be reported to a Full Council meeting of each participating authority.

COUNCIL
29 NOVEMBER 2018

INFORMATION REPORT – USE OF THE
URGENCY AND SPECIAL URGENCY
PROCEDURE

This page is intentionally left blank

REPORT FOR: COUNCIL

Date of Meeting: 29 November 2018

Subject: **Information Report - Use of the Urgency and Special Urgency Procedure**

Responsible Officer: Hugh Peart – Monitoring Officer

Exempt: No

Enclosures: Appendix A – Decision taken as a matter of urgency/ Use of Special Urgency Procedure

Section 1 – Summary

This report sets out details of a decision taken under the Urgency procedure rules by the Leader of the Council since the meeting of the Council on 19 July 2018.

FOR INFORMATION

Section 2 – Report

In accordance with Committee Procedure Rule 46.6 set out in Part 4 of the Council's Constitution, any Executive decisions taken as a matter of urgency are reported to the next available meeting of the Council.

One decision has been taken as a matter of urgency since the Council meeting held on 19 July 2018, details of which are set out in Appendix A.

In accordance with the Access to Information Procedure Rules (Rule 17) and paragraph 19 of the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012, the use of the Special Urgency procedure in relation to Executive decisions is to be reported quarterly to Council.

The Special Urgency procedure has not been used since the last Council.

Section 3 – Further Information

Where appropriate, Ward Councillors, outside organisations and interested parties were consulted on individual reports considered by Cabinet, the Leader and Portfolio Holders.

Where decisions were deemed urgent, the agreement of the Chair of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee was obtained that the decision would not be subject to the call-in procedure.

Section 4 – Financial Implications

As per the report to the Leader of the Council.

Section 5 - Contact Details and Background Papers

Contact:

Elaine McEachron, Democratic & Electoral Services Manager

Tel: 020 8424 1097

E-mail: Elaine.mceachron@harrow.gov.uk

Background Papers:

Council's Constitution/Portfolio Holder Decision report

Decision taken in accordance with the Urgency Procedure

The following urgent decisions have been made since Council on 19 July 2018:

Subject	Decision Maker (Portfolio Holder/Leader/Cabinet)	Reason for Urgency
Business Improvement District – Request to hold a ballot	Leader of the Council (25 October 2018)	The decision is urgent as it is necessary to authorise the BID ballot before 1/11/2018 in order to meet the required timetable for the ballot and to allow the BID company the opportunity to potentially continue with another 5 year term commencing on the 1/4/2019

This page is intentionally left blank